Left temporal lobe language network connectivity in temporal lobe epilepsy
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Impairment of naming function is a critical problem for temporal lobe epilepsy patients, yet the neural correlates of the disruption of temporal lobe language networks are poorly understood. Using functional MRI, we investigated the activation and task-related functional connectivity of left temporal lobe language networks and their relation to clinical naming performance and disease characteristics. We studied 59 adult patients with temporal lobe epilepsy (35 left temporal lobe epilepsy) and 32 healthy controls with auditory and visual naming functional MRI tasks. Time series of activation maxima in the left posterior inferior temporal lobe were extracted to create a psychophysiological interaction regressor for subsequent seed-based whole-brain task-related functional connectivity analyses. Correlational analyses were performed to assess the association of functional MRI activation and functional connectivity with clinical naming scores, age of onset of epilepsy, and duration of epilepsy. Auditory naming elicited activation in the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus and visual naming in the left fusiform gyrus across all groups. Activations in the left inferior temporal gyrus, left thalamus and left supplementary motor region during auditory naming as well as left fusiform activations during picture naming correlated with better clinical naming performance. Functional connectivity analyses indicated coupling of left posterior inferior temporal regions to bilateral anterior and posterior temporal lobe regions and the bilateral inferior precentral gyrus as well as contralateral occipital cortex. Stronger functional connectivity was associated with better clinical naming performance in all groups. In patients with left temporal lobe epilepsy only, functional connectivity increased with later age of onset of epilepsy and shorter disease duration. This suggests that onset of seizures early in life and prolonged disease duration lead to disrupted recruitment of temporal lobe networks ipsilateral to the seizure focus, which might account for naming deficits in temporal lobe epilepsy.
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Introduction

Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is often associated with deficits in language function (Davey and Thompson, 1991). Disruption of normal functioning and organization of brain networks has been postulated to contribute to language impairment in TLE (Powell et al., 2007; Protzner and McAndrews, 2011) and an early age of onset of epilepsy has been associated with poorer language performance (Schoenfeld et al., 1999).

Impairment of naming function is a quintessential feature of language deficits in TLE and both auditory and visual naming tasks are clinically applied to assess naming performance (Hamberger, 2015). Performance on auditory naming tasks seems to be particularly related to word-finding difficulties in conversational speech with its multiple neuropsychological demands (Bell et al., 2003). Naming decline is also a concern for TLE patients following anterior temporal lobe resection (Bell et al., 2002) and language functional MRI has a useful role in the pre-surgical assessment as a non-invasive predictor of a reduction in naming capacity (Duncan, 2009; Bonelli et al., 2012).

Temporal lobe language areas, particularly in the posterior and basal temporal regions, have been shown to be majorly involved in clinical naming performance in TLE (Trebuchon-Da Fonseca et al., 2009), highlighting the importance of assessing temporal lobe language networks in this epilepsy syndrome. Currently, however, most clinically-applied functional MRI paradigms in presurgical epilepsy evaluation employ verbal fluency or verb generation tasks, which mainly activate frontal lobe language regions (Woermann et al., 2003; Szallasrki et al., 2008; Bonelli et al., 2012; Centeno et al., 2014). Recently, novel auditory and visual naming functional MRI paradigms have been shown to reliably activate temporal lobe regions in presurgical TLE patients and controls (Gonzálvez et al., 2016).

Advances in structural and functional imaging techniques over recent years have shifted our understanding from discrete brain regions being responsible for language comprehension and production to the recognition of a distributed network of functionally coupled brain regions subserving language. The functional connections between cortical regions may be investigated by functional connectivity analyses derived from functional MRI data (Friston, 1994) and are defined as the temporal correlation of the time series of spatially remote areas, indicating that the haemodynamic responses in these regions show a comparable pattern over time (Friston et al., 1993; Friston, 1994).

Few studies have investigated language networks and reorganization in TLE using functional connectivity analyses. Resting state functional MRI data indicate impaired connectivity between language-related regions in the inferior frontal gyrus and also between the inferior frontal gyrus and the default mode network in TLE patients, especially in those with left hemisphere seizure onset (Waite et al., 2006; Pravatà et al., 2011). Investigations on task-related functional connectivity of language networks in TLE are very scarce, poor language functions correlated with reduced functional connectivity between left hemisphere frontal lobe language regions (Vlooswijk et al., 2010; Pravatà et al., 2011) but connectivity patterns of temporal lobe language regions remain poorly understood.

The aim of this study was to investigate the functional anatomy of naming in the temporal lobe. We used auditory and visual naming functional MRI in TLE patients implementing a seed-based whole-brain functional connectivity approach, to explore how these activations and their functional connections were related to clinical naming performance and disease duration. We hypothesized that: (i) temporal lobe activations during naming tasks would be functionally coupled to brain regions consistent with language networks proposed in theoretical models; and (ii) the strength of connectivity from temporal lobe regions would depend on clinical naming performance, disease duration and age of epilepsy onset.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Ninety-six participants were enrolled in the study, comprising 63 patients with medically refractory TLE (37 left TLE, 26 right TLE) and 33 healthy control subjects. We excluded two patients with left TLE, two with right TLE, and one control subject from the analysis because of poor functional MRI data quality, leaving a total of 91 participants (48% males, age range 18–63 years). Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of TLE were consecutively recruited from those undergoing presurgical assessment at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHNN). Control subjects were age- and gender-matched to the overall patient group, and had no history of epilepsy or any other chronic neurological or psychiatric disease. Exclusion criteria for all subjects were non-fluency in written and spoken English, pregnancy, any contraindication to MRI, and inability to give informed consent. An additional exclusion criterion for patients was history of a secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizure within 24 h prior to the study. Demographic and clinical data are summarized in Table 1.

Prolonged interictal and ictal EEG video telemetry confirmed and lateralized temporal seizure onset zones (ipsilateral in patients with structural brain lesions) in all patients. All patients underwent structural MRI at 3.0 T, including quantification of hippocampal volumes and T2 relaxation times (Woermann et al., 1998). MRI identified hippocampal sclerosis in 28 patients (17 left/11 right), dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumour in 12 (eight left/four right), cavernoma in three (two left/one right), focal cortical dysplasia in two (one left/one right), low grade glioma in three (one left/two right), and 11 normal MRI (six left/five right).

All participants were fluent in written and spoken English. Handedness was determined using the Edinburgh Hand Preference Inventory (Oldfield, 1971).

The study was approved by the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery and the UCL Institute of
Table 1 Demographic and clinical data in controls and patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender female/male</th>
<th>Age onset (years)</th>
<th>CPS monthly</th>
<th>SGS monthly</th>
<th>Number AED</th>
<th>Handedness right/left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n = 32)</td>
<td>38.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>19/13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>29/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE (n = 35)</td>
<td>35.4 ± 10.9</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>17.1 ± 9.3</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>3/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE (n = 24)</td>
<td>37.0 ± 10.8</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>22.3 ± 12.9</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>21/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age and age of onset of epilepsy are shown as mean ± SD. Seizure frequency [complex partial seizures (CPS), secondarily generalized seizures (SGS)] and number of AED are shown as median and IQR. AED = antiepileptic drugs.

Neuropsychological tests

All subjects underwent neuropsychological testing prior to scanning to provide a measure of their linguistic proficiency. The measures used were standardized clinical tests that form part of the pre- and post-surgical neuropsychological evaluations of TLE patients. Naming was assessed using the McKenna Graded Naming Test (McKenna and Warrington, 1983). Intellectual level was derived from performance on the National Adult Reading Test (NART; Nelson and Wilson, 1991).

Magnetic resonance data acquisition

MRI studies were performed using a 3 T General Electric Signa MR750 scanner (GE), using standard imaging gradients with a maximum strength of 50 mTm⁻¹ and slew rate 200 Tm⁻¹ s⁻¹. All data were acquired using the standard 32-channel RF receive head array coil and the body RF coil for transmission.

For functional MRI, we acquired gradient-echo planar T₂*-weighted images (echo time = 22 ms, repetition time = 2500 ms), providing blood oxygenation level-dependent contrast. Each volume comprised 50 contiguous 2.4 mm slices (0.1-mm gap) with a 24 cm field of view, 64 × 64 matrix, giving an in-plane pixel size of 3.75 × 3.75 mm. The field of view was positioned to maximize coverage of the frontal and temporal lobes and minimize signal drop-out from the temporal and orbitofrontal lobes. To mitigate geometric distortions, the Array Spatial Sensitivity Encoding Technique (ASSET; the GE implementation of parallel imaging) was used.

All subjects underwent a structural MRI scanning protocol on the same scanner, which included an axial T₁ BRAVO sequence as well as a diffusion sequence. Patients additionally underwent a standard clinical imaging protocol including an axial and coronal T₂-weighted sequence, an axial susceptibility-weighted sequence, and an oblique coronal 2D dual-echo proton density and T₂-weighted image sequence.

Language paradigms

We used two overt language tasks, auditory naming and picture naming as described previously (González et al., 2016). Subjects responded to visual and auditory stimuli presented via a magnetic-resonance compatible screen viewed through a mirror (Bonelli et al., 2012) and a compatible audio-system (headphone and microphone devices).

Auditory naming sessions consisted of five cycles of alternating 30-s activation blocks and two control blocks of 15-s each, comprising auditory reversed speech and crosshair fixation. During the activation phase, subjects were asked to name aloud objects and animals from their auditory description. Participants were instructed to count aloud ‘one, two’ during auditory reversed speech and to rest with eyes open during crosshair fixation.

Picture naming sessions involved five cycles of visually presented stimuli, each consisting of alternating 30-s activation blocks and three control blocks of 15 s each, comprising scrambled pictures, blurred cartoon faces, and crosshair fixation. During the activation phase, participants were instructed to name aloud black and white line drawings of everyday objects and animals. Subjects were instructed to count aloud ‘one, two’ in response to scrambled pictures and blurred cartoon faces, and to rest with eyes open during crosshair fixation. Further details on the acquisition paradigms can be found in the Supplementary material.

Prior to scanning, each subject was given detailed explanations with examples to ensure test instructions were fully understood. We recorded all tasks with an external microphone outside the scanner. All study participants successfully performed >80% on both functional MRI tasks and there was no statistically significant difference in task performance among the three groups (P > 0.05). Due to technical problems with the audio and visual presentation systems, auditory naming could not be acquired in one left TLE patient and one control subject.

Data analysis

Imaging data were analysed using Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). The imaging time series of each subject was realigned, normalized into standard anatomical space using a scanner specific template (created from high resolution whole brain echo planar images of 30 healthy controls, 15 patients with left hippocampal sclerosis, and 15 patients with right hippocampal sclerosis) and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm full-width at half-maximum.

A two-level random effects analysis was used. In the first level, condition-specific effects were estimated according to the general linear model (Friston et al., 1995) for each subject. Regressors of interest were formed by convolving blocks of stimuli with the canonical haemodynamic response function for each of the conditions of interest. Parameter estimates for regressors were calculated for each voxel. Two contrast images were generated for each subject within the three groups (controls, left TLE, right TLE), comprising auditory naming minus.
reversed speech (AN – AR), and picture naming minus scrambled pictures and faces [PN – (SPc + F)]. These contrast images were used for the second-level analysis. One-sample t-tests were used to examine the main effect of each task across groups. One-way ANOVAs were used to quantitatively assess statistical differences among the three groups (left TLE, right TLE, controls). Unless otherwise stated, we report activations at a threshold of \( P < 0.05 \), corrected voxel-wise for multiple comparisons [family-wise error rate (FWE)] across the whole brain.

**Functional connectivity analysis using psychophysiological interaction**

We used a psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis to assess task-related functional connectivity between activated areas. To identify the seed region for the PPI analysis, we located each subject’s individual peak response to the contrasts AN – AR and PN – (SPc + F) within a region of interest in the left posterior inferior temporal lobe, defined from group activation maps of each contrast, and the time series of a sphere of 8-mm radius around this peak voxel was extracted from the normalized, smoothed echo planar imaging (EPI) images (Bonelli et al., 2012). The PPI model included three regressors: (i) the main effect of the seed region (i.e. the functional connectivity); (ii) the main effect of the task [AN – AR and PN – (SPc + F), respectively]; and (iii) the interaction between the two, representing a task-modulated change in functional connectivity, or PPI (Friston, 1994). Areas functionally coupled to the left temporal lobe seed region were examined across groups by one-sample t-tests for each task and were compared across groups by using one-way ANOVA. All PPI activations are reported at a threshold of \( P < 0.05 \), corrected voxel-wise for multiple comparisons (FWE) across the whole brain unless otherwise stated.

For the convenience of the reader, for the contrast AN – AR we refer to as ‘auditory naming’ and for the contrast PN – (SPc + F) as ‘picture naming’.

**Correlations with clinical factors**

We assessed correlations of areas of auditory and picture naming functional MRI activation and functional connectivity with naming performance outside the scanner in all subjects. In patients, we additionally investigated correlations of functional MRI activations with age of onset of epilepsy and disease duration.

Positive and negative correlations were explored using McKenna naming scores (McKenna and Warrington, 1983) as well as age of onset and disease duration as covariates in one-sample t-tests. In accordance with previous investigations using auditory and picture naming functional MRI paradigms, activations were expected to encompass the bilateral superior, middle and inferior temporal gyrus and fusiform gyrus as well as the left middle and inferior frontal gyrus (Croft et al., 2014; González et al., 2016). Using the group activation maps, masks were created to identify the activation maximum within these regions of interest. All correlational activations are shown masked for the group effects at a threshold of \( P < 0.001 \) uncorrected, extent threshold 10 voxels, corrected for multiple comparisons using a small volume correction within a sphere of 8 mm radius (FWE; \( P < 0.05 \)), centred at the location of activation maximum in the frontal and temporal lobe regions of interest (Bonelli et al., 2012; Sidhu et al., 2013). Estimated verbal IQ derived from performance on the NART (Nelson and Wilson, 1991) was used as a covariate of no interest for all analyses.

**Results**

**Demographic data**

The three groups did not differ in age (\( F = 5.14; P = 0.08 \)) and the distribution of gender (Pearson \( \chi^2 = 1.22; P = 0.54 \)) and handedness (Pearson \( \chi^2 = 0.15; P = 0.93 \)) was comparable across all groups. The two patient groups did not differ in frequency of complex partial (Mann-Whitney U-test = 443.5; \( P = 0.72 \)) and generalized seizures (Mann-Whitney U-test = 398.0; \( P = 0.63 \)) or number of antiepileptic drugs taken (Mann-Whitney U-test = 358.5; \( P = 0.31 \)).

**Neuropsychological results**

There was a significant difference in intellectual level (estimated IQ) derived from performance on the NART (Nelson and Wilson, 1991) among the three groups \( [H(2) = 19.1; P < 0.001; \text{Table 2}] \). Post hoc analysis indicated that estimated IQ was lower in left TLE patients \( (P < 0.001) \) and right TLE patients \( (P = 0.03) \) than in controls. There was no significant difference in IQ between left TLE and right TLE patients \( (P = 0.49) \).

Clinical naming test scores (McKenna and Warrington, 1983) showed only a statistical trend towards a difference between the groups \( [H(2) = 5.89; P = 0.05; \text{Table 2}] \). Exploratory subsequent pairwise comparisons indicated lower naming scores in left TLE patients versus controls \( (P = 0.03) \), whereas there was no difference between the two patient groups \( (P = 0.07) \) or between right TLE patients and controls \( (P = 0.95) \).

**Main effects**

**Auditory naming**

Main effects across all groups were observed in the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus, left posterior and anterior middle temporal gyrus, left superior frontal gyrus (including the supplementary motor region), left lingual gyrus, and left anterior cingulate. Further activations were seen in the right cerebellum as well as bilateral inferior frontal gyrus, bilateral thalamus and bilateral superior occipital gyrus (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). There were no significant differences among the three groups.

**Picture naming**

Main effects across all subjects were observed in the left fusiform gyrus, left inferior frontal gyrus, left superior frontal gyrus (supplementary motor region) as well as left middle occipital gyrus. Further activations were seen in
the right inferior temporal gyrus, right cerebellum and bilateral inferior occipital gyrus (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). There were no significant differences among the three groups.

Correlation of functional MRI activations with clinical naming scores

For auditory naming, a correlation of greater functional MRI activations with higher clinical naming scores was observed in the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus, the left thalamus and left superior frontal gyrus (supplementary motor region) across groups. For picture naming, increased activation correlated with better naming scores in the left fusiform gyrus across groups. Intergroup comparisons did not indicate a significant difference of the correlations among groups (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 2). Of note, there were no areas in any of the groups for both functional MRI tasks which showed reduced functional MRI activation with better naming scores.

Functional connectivity analysis using psychophysiological interaction

Auditory naming

Across groups, the left posterior inferior temporal seed region (derived from the individual peak response in the left posterior inferior temporal lobe, defined from group activation maps, Fig. 1), showed task-related functional coupling with the left anterior superior, middle and inferior temporal gyrus, left transverse temporal gyrus (Heschl’s gyrus) and left superior frontal gyrus (supplementary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Estimated intellectual level (derived from performance on NART) and naming scores in patients and controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NART IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naming score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean (SD) [range]. NART IQ = intellectual level derived from performance on National Adult Reading Test.
°Independent sample t-test right TLE < controls, P = 0.03.
°°Independent sample t-test left TLE < controls, P < 0.001.
motor region). Further significant connectivity was observed to the right anterior (temporal pole) and posterior superior temporal gyrus as well as bilateral posterior middle temporal gyrus, bilateral precentral gyrus and bilateral cerebellum (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3). There were no significant differences in functional connectivity among the three groups.

**Picture naming**

Across groups, functional coupling was observed between the left posterior inferior temporal lobe and the left anterior superior temporal gyrus (including the temporal pole) and the left posterior fusiform gyrus as well as the right inferior occipital gyrus, right cerebellum and bilateral precentral gyrus (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3). There were no significant differences in functional connectivity among the three groups.

**Correlation of functional connectivity with naming scores**

For auditory naming, connectivity values between the left posterior inferior temporal seed region and the left orbitofrontal gyrus correlated with better clinical naming scores across the three groups.

For picture naming, a positive association between clinical naming scores and functional connectivity between the left temporal lobe seed and the left precentral gyrus was noted across groups (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 4). Intergroup comparisons did not indicate a significant difference in the correlations among groups. Of note, there were no areas in the brain of greater functional connectivity with poorer naming scores for any group in both functional MRI tasks.

**Correlation of functional connectivity with age of onset of epilepsy and disease duration in left and right TLE**

For auditory naming, left TLE patients showed greater functional connectivity between the left inferior temporal gyrus and the left anterior middle temporal gyrus and the left inferior frontal gyrus with later age of onset of epilepsy. In addition, the shorter the disease duration, the stronger the connectivity to the left precentral gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 5).

![Figure 2. Increased functional MRI activations with higher clinical naming scores across all three groups (left TLE, right TLE, controls) superimposed on sagittal and coronal images shown masked for the group effects at P < 0.001, uncorrected, extent threshold 10 voxels. Activations are significant corrected for multiple comparisons using a small volume correction within a sphere of 8 mm radius (FWE; P < 0.05) at the location of activation maximum in the temporal and frontal lobe regions of interest. Top row: Correlations with activations in the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus for auditory naming. Bottom row: Correlations with activations in the left fusiform gyrus for picture naming. A = anterior; L = left; P = posterior; R = right.](image)
For picture naming, left TLE patients showed greater connectivity between the left fusiform gyrus and the left precentral gyrus with later age of onset of epilepsy and shorter disease duration. Additionally, shorter disease duration was associated with greater connectivity to the left temporal pole (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 5).

Patients with right TLE did not show any suprathreshold correlations of functional connectivity seeding from the left posterior temporal lobes with age of onset or disease duration for any of the functional MRI tasks and group comparisons indicated stronger correlations for left TLE than right TLE patients (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 5).

**Discussion**

Across all subjects (left TLE, right TLE, controls), auditory and picture naming elicited robust activations in the left posterior inferior temporal lobe, which were functionally coupled to bilateral temporal and inferior frontal lobe regions. Left posterior temporal lobe activations and the strength of functional connectivity to other brain regions correlated with better clinical naming performance, without intergroup differences. For left TLE patients, stronger functional connections between the left posterior inferior temporal lobe and other language-specific cortical regions was associated with later age of epilepsy onset as well as with shorter disease duration. Our findings highlight the importance of probing the integrity of temporal lobe language networks and could provide an explanation for impaired naming performance in TLE, especially in patients with an early onset of seizures and long disease duration.

**Functional MRI activations in posterior inferior temporal regions and association with clinical naming performance**

While most clinically applied language functional MRI paradigms involve tasks that mainly activate frontal lobe...
language areas, such as the verbal/letter fluency task or verb generation task (Woermann et al., 2003; Szafarski et al., 2008; Bonelli et al., 2012), we aimed to highlight temporal lobe language networks in our cohort, since it has been suggested that reorganization of language networks in TLE patients might predominate in temporal lobe as compared to frontal lobe networks (Thivard et al., 2005).

We clinically validated our functional MRI tasks showing that the temporal lobe functional MRI activation maxima of both naming tasks as well as their functional connections were associated with better clinical naming performance in patients with TLE and control subjects. By using two overt language tasks, auditory naming and visual naming, we could demonstrate consistent activations in left posterior temporal lobe regions, specifically the posterior inferior temporal gyrus and fusiform gyrus, in healthy controls and patients with left TLE or right TLE. Auditory naming activated the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus and visual naming activated the left fusiform gyrus across groups, which was correlated with better clinical naming performance. Both inferior temporal gyrus and fusiform gyrus have been extensively described to be associated with semantic processing in functional MRI studies (see Binder et al., 2009 for a review) and lesion studies suggest that resection of the left inferior temporal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, middle temporal gyrus and parahippocampal gyrus are associated with a decline in clinical naming performance, with the most relevant association found for the fusiform gyrus (Wilson et al., 2015).

Auditory naming activations were also observed in the left posterior and anterior middle temporal gyrus, for which a strong association with lexical-semantic processing has been described. The posterior middle temporal gyrus is particularly thought to represent a crucial link between the initial phonological processing and subsequent semantic processing (Middlebrooks et al., 2017).
Picture naming also activated the right inferior temporal gyrus in all groups. Involvement of right temporal regions has been described previously and has usually been interpreted as an expression of high demands on semantic processing (Démonet et al., 1992; Pugh et al., 1996; Kircher et al., 2001). Auditory naming also activated the left anterior cingulate and bilateral thalamus, which have been attributed to decision-making during semantic attention tasks as part of cortico-thalamic networks (Hebb and Ojemann, 2013; Li et al., 2017).

Both auditory and picture naming were further associated with activations in the left inferior frontal gyrus and the left supplementary motor region. While the role of the inferior frontal gyrus in the language system was initially attributed mostly to language production, its critical role in semantic processing is now widely accepted. Lesion as well as functional imaging studies suggest that both anterior regions of the inferior frontal gyrus such as pars orbitalis or pars triangularis as well as posterior regions including pars opercularis are involved in the semantic network and play a role in lexical retrieval, verbal working memory, and naming (BooKheimer, 2002; Binder et al., 2009; Middlebrooks et al., 2017). The dominant supplementary motor area (SMA) has been attributed a role in higher-order function in language production, and resection or damage to this region frequently results in the SMA syndrome, which involves difficulties with initiation of speech and generally reduced spontaneous speech output (Rostomily et al., 1991).

**Task-related functional connectivity of temporal lobe language networks**

Seed-based whole-brain functional connectivity (PPI) analyses demonstrated functional coupling of the left inferior posterior temporal region to other brain regions related to the language network, most importantly bilateral temporal and frontal lobe areas.

In the auditory naming task, this included the left anterior superior, middle and inferior temporal gyrus and left Heschl’s gyrus, the right temporal pole, and the bilateral posterior middle temporal gyrus as well as inferior part of the precentral gyrus.

For the visual naming task, connectivity from the left posterior inferior temporal seed region included the ipsilateral anterior superior temporal gyrus (including the left temporal pole), the bilateral inferior precentral gyrus and right occipital gyrus.

The observed functional connectivity patterns elicited by auditory and visual naming tasks primarily involved ipsilateral temporal and occipital cortex and bilateral frontal lobe regions, which is supported by conceptual models of language networks as well as investigations using language functional MRI activation and connectivity analyses. The role of a bilateral, left-lateralized temporal lobe language network has been extensively studied, especially in regard to semantic processing (see Binder et al., 2009 for a review) and the involvement of the bilateral superior temporal gyrus has been described for an auditory semantic decision task as well as auditory and visual naming tasks in both healthy volunteers and TLE patients (Friederici et al., 2003; González et al., 2016). The temporal pole has been suggested to represent a ‘semantic hub’ linked to complex semantic processing and it is well-known that resection of the dominant temporal pole may result in naming deficits in a large proportion of patients (Sabsevitz et al., 2003; Binder et al., 2011; Goucha and Friederici, 2015; Middlebrooks et al., 2017).

The observed connectivity patterns to frontal lobe regions in our study primarily involved the inferior part of the precentral gyrus. This involves the ventral premotor cortex, just posterior to the pars opercularis of the inferior frontal gyrus, which represents the primary component of the original Broca’s area (Dronkers et al., 2007; Tate et al., 2014; Yagmurlu et al., 2016). Given its close vicinity to the orofacial primary motor cortex, the ventral premotor cortex has been attributed a critical role in phonologic processing bilaterally (Duffau et al., 2003; Sanai et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2015; Yagmurlu et al., 2016) and its activation has been described in healthy volunteers using an auditory semantic decision task (Friederici et al., 2003). Our findings are further supported by previous functional connectivity analyses derived from resting-state and task-derived functional MRI in healthy controls and TLE patients showing an interconnection of left anterior temporal lobe regions with ipsilateral temporal and frontal lobe regions (Bettus et al., 2009; Pravatà et al., 2011), and also with homologous areas in the right hemisphere (Hurley et al., 2015).

Lastly, the right occipital cortex has been involved in object naming. Price et al. (2005) conducted a meta-analysis of functional imaging studies on object naming and found bilateral involvement of the occipito-temporal cortex for visual naming. However, greater involvement of the right occipital cortex was noted when ‘high-level’ baselines were used, which controlled for visual processing and speech production (Price et al., 2005).

**Relation of functional connectivity to clinical naming performance**

The strength of functional connectivity seeding from the left posterior inferior temporal gyrus to the left orbitofrontal gyrus during auditory naming, and from the left fusiform gyrus to the left precentral during visual naming was correlated with better clinical naming scores with no difference of correlations between groups.

There is a dearth of investigations on the relation of task-related functional connectivity of language regions with clinical naming performance in TLE. Pravatà et al. (2011) investigated functional connectivity between six predefined regions in the left and right frontal and temporal lobes
using a verb generation task and found a correlation with verbal IQ for connectivity values within the left hemisphere in left TLE patients; however, naming was not assessed. The orbitofrontal cortex has been attributed to semantic processing and decision-making (Middlebrooks et al., 2017) and reduced connectivity between medial temporal regions and the left orbitofrontal cortex have previously been described in patients with left TLE (Voets et al., 2009).

Vlooswijk et al. (2010) found a significant correlation of functional connectivity between left inferior and middle frontal regions for a word generation functional MRI paradigm with clinical performance on a semantic fluency task and a text reading task. There was, however, no correlation of clinical language performance with connectivity between left temporal and left frontal regions. Crucially, our findings emphasize the clinical relevance of assessing functional connectivity of temporal lobe language networks in TLE using auditory and visual naming paradigms with active control conditions.

**Correlation of functional connectivity with disease duration and age of onset of epilepsy**

In patients with left TLE, functional connectivity seeding from the left inferior temporal gyrus to left anterior middle temporal gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus as well as to the left precentral gyrus was associated with shorter disease duration and a later disease onset. Group comparisons indicated stronger correlations for patients with left than right TLE, in which no suprathreshold correlations were observed (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 5).

Recently, functional connectivity in left hemisphere language networks was investigated in children with and without focal epilepsy using a principal component analysis (PCA) approach derived from an auditory semantic decision functional MRI task (Croft et al., 2014). Reduced activation of left hemisphere language networks and poorer language performance were observed in children with epilepsy compared to controls, but no correlation of intrahemispheric functional connectivity with age of onset or disease duration was demonstrated, which might have been attributable to an insufficient duration of epilepsy to cause changes in functional connectivity (Croft et al., 2014). In adults, a study in patients with TLE did not show a correlation of task-derived functional connectivity with disease duration or age of onset of epilepsy (Vlooswijk et al., 2010). Our study therefore provides evidence for disruption of left temporal lobe language networks in TLE patients by both an early onset of epilepsy as well as prolonged disease duration. This might represent an expression of impaired recruitment of language networks caused by an early onset of seizures and prolonged disease duration, which is in accordance with clinical findings of impaired clinical naming performance associated with longer duration of epilepsy (Thompson and Duncan, 2005; Thompson et al., 2015). Stronger correlations in left TLE compared to right TLE patients argue for the reorganization of temporal lobe language networks ipsilateral to the seizure onset zone in left TLE, in accordance with previous findings (Thivard et al., 2005).
Strengths and limitations

Our study has several methodological strengths. First, we applied a seed-based whole-brain connectivity approach instead of using predefined regions of interest, and we investigated task-related functional connectivity instead of resting-state functional MRI, since it has been suggested that task-derived language networks allow stronger inferences regarding activation patterns and behaviour as compared to resting-state analyses (Calhoun et al., 2008). Second, we used an active control condition in both our language functional MRI tasks to create our functional MRI contrasts and activation maps, i.e. reversed speech in the auditory naming task and scrambled pictures/faces in the visual naming task, followed by an irrelevant overt response (saying out loud ‘one, two’) by the participants. This diminishes activations caused by the type of stimulus presentation (auditory versus visual input) as well as motor cortex activations and movement artefacts caused by overt language production (González et al., 2016). If rest is used as a control condition, specific brain networks can be activated, but the default mode network, a task-negative network which activates in the absence of a cognitive task, shows significant overlaps with brain areas related to semantic processing, which can lead to subtraction of task-related activation in those areas (Raichle et al., 2001; Binder et al., 2009). Third, we used overt language tasks, offering the benefit to control for task performance (Croft et al., 2014; González et al., 2016). As noted previously (Gartus et al., 2009; Leuthardt et al., 2012), overt speech production elicits substantial perisylvian cortical activation, which is negated by creating appropriate contrasts essentially subtracting motor activity (González et al., 2016). Fourth, we used conservative statistical thresholds that allow inferences to be made about patients with TLE as a population.

There are also several limitations to our work. Patients had a lower intelligence level than controls, which was mitigated by including IQ as a covariate of no interest for all analyses, including correlation analyses with clinical factors. There were no group differences in clinical naming scores, although there was a trend towards impaired naming in left TLE patients. Lastly, we have not yet investigated structural connectivity correlations with our functional connectivity findings and their relation to clinical factors. Previous results from resting-state functional MRI in healthy subjects suggest a reflection of functional connectivity by white matter tracts derived from diffusion tensor imaging (Greicius et al., 2009). Structural reorganization of white matter tracts has been suggested to reflect the altered functional language lateralization in left TLE patients (Powell et al., 2007) and impaired integrity of both dorsal and ventral white matter language tracts as expressed by increased diffusivity or decreased fractional anisotropy measures have been reported to be associated with impaired clinical naming performance in TLE (McDonald et al., 2008).

Clinical implications

Anterior temporal lobe resection is an effective treatment option for individuals with refractory TLE, leading to seizure remission in up to 80% of patients for over 1 year (de Tisi et al., 2011). An important caveat, however, are the naming and word finding difficulties that might ensue. Previous verbal fluency functional MRI tasks have been shown to be sensitive, but not specific predictors of word-finding difficulties following temporal lobe resections (Bonelli et al., 2012). We show that the functional anatomy of naming is related to disease characteristics, particularly in patients with a left hemisphere seizure onset. Stronger connectivity to the ‘to-be-resected’ left temporal pole in patients with shorter disease duration and later age of onset of seizures might implicate a higher risk of developing naming deficits following anterior temporal lobe resection. This might have implications for the prediction of naming deficits following surgery and for surgical planning. Ongoing prospective studies are addressing this issue.

Conclusions

Naming and word finding difficulties are frequently encountered in TLE, particularly when the seizure focus is located in the left hemisphere, and naming decline is a major concern following language-dominant anterior temporal lobe resections. Using auditory and visual naming functional MRI paradigms, this study provides novel evidence of an association between task-related functional connectivity of left posterior temporal lobe language networks with clinical naming in TLE and controls. Earlier age of onset and longer duration of left TLE are shown to be associated with more profound disruption of these networks.

This suggests a disturbance of temporal lobe networks ipsilateral to the hemisphere of seizure onset caused by an early and prolonged detrimental effect of epilepsy on the left temporal lobe. This clearly highlights the importance of early diagnosis and treatment of TLE, and longitudinal investigations are warranted to investigate the plasticity of these networks, especially in regard to epilepsy surgery.

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Zeidman for methodological support, Sjoerd Vos for providing helpful scripts, and Monika Czech for helping with patient recruitment. We would like to acknowledge the radiographers at the Epilepsy Society, Jane Burdett and Andrea Hill, as well as thank all our participants and our colleagues for their enthusiastic cooperation.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals.
Biomedical Research Centre. We are grateful to the Wolfson Foundation and the Epilepsy Society for supporting the Epilepsy Society MRI scanner. We are grateful to the European Academy of Neurology (EAN) and the Austrian Society of Neurology (OEGN) who each support K.T. with a one-year fellowship. L.C. acknowledges support from a PhD scholarship by the Brain Research Trust.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Brain online.

References


Woermann FG, Barker GJ, Birnie KD, Meencke HJ, Duncan JS. Regional changes in hippocampal T2 relaxation and volume: a quantitative magnetic resonance imaging study of hippocampal sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1998; 65: 656–64.
